Dear Lou.

Here are the Thornley letters, with emvelopes, and the Bostright employment records. Especially because of the "loss" of the first set I sent you, I again remind you of the agreement underwhich I got this material and Bostright's cooperation: that no one not on the paid staff would see it and no public use would be made unless necessary in court. I again remind you that Moo was supposed to go ever the copies of his personal phone book, eliminate what is not germaine on all three sets, and send me mine. This has not happened. It just isn't right for all those names having no connection with enything to be kicking around lessely. I suggest that eside from establishing when Bostright was in N.O. and his connection with Courtney, etc., his personal records need interest no one.

y copier is not working well and I'm almost cut of paper. It costs me \$75.00 to get a new supply. So, again I have made but a single set. Can you please Merox what you need for yourself and return these to me so I'll have a set to work with? I have several people to see in this area as seen as I get time, including the person who made Boatright possible. If there is any new material of which I should know befo I see these meeple I'd like to know about it.

One name on a card is Harry Sutton. I think Creste mentioned this name as convected with Forrie, but I am not cartein. Of the last name I am. Again, this is not too uncorron a same. But we can follow back with FB on him.

because efter a neek you did not have the negatives the other way and I am weiting for prints for other work with them, one may or enother I'll send this to the office registered. I'th be leaving for New York early in the corning, so, with the local T.O. already closed for the weekend, that'll take some doing.

I forgot the New York Times story in the previous metling, mentioned in a latter to Jim. Harat is.

Eincerely,